

**These charges are only allegations which
may be contested by the licensee in an
Administrative hearing.**

IN THE MATTER
OF
GREGORY DONALD DENZEL, D.O.

STATEMENT
OF
CHARGES

GREGORY DONALD DENZEL, D.O., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about July 15, 1991, by the issuance of license number 186229 by the New York State Education Department.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- A. On or about August 28, 2015, Respondent agreed to a Stipulation and Final Agency Order ("Order") with the Colorado Medical Board ("Board"), which became effective on or about October 8, 2015. Pursuant to the terms that Order, Respondent admitted that he engaged in unprofessional conduct by failing to personally examine a number of patients before making medical diagnoses and prescribing Suboxone to patients while serving as Medical Director of a treatment center.
- B. Pursuant to the terms of that Order, Respondent was admonished; placed on five years' probation subject to terms including prohibition against solo practice and prohibition against prescribing, maintaining a supply of, administering, or dispensing Suboxone; required to undergo an assessment to determine the need for remedial education and/or training, and to comply with the outcome of such assessment; training in ethics; and compliance with other terms and conditions.

C. The conduct resulting in the Board's Order would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to New York Education Law section 6530(3) (negligence on more than one occasion).

SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES

FIRST SPECIFICATION

HAVING BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(b) by having been found guilty of improper professional practice or professional misconduct by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state where the conduct upon which the finding was based would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(3)) as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. The facts in Paragraphs A, B, and C.

SECOND SPECIFICATION

HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(d) by having his or her license to practice medicine revoked, suspended or having other disciplinary action taken, or having his or her application for a

license refused, revoked or suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered his or her license after a disciplinary action was instituted by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state, where the conduct resulting in the revocation, suspension or other disciplinary action involving the license or refusal, revocation or suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of the license would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(3)) as alleged in the facts of the following:

2. The facts in Paragraphs A, B, and C.

MAY 2
DATE: ~~April~~, 2016
Albany, New York


MICHAEL A. HISER
Deputy Counsel
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct