

**These charges are only allegations which
may be contested by the licensee in an
Administrative hearing.**

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT

IN THE MATTER

OF

SI HOI LAM, M.D.

STATEMENT
OF
CHARGES

SI HOI LAM, M.D., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about July 3, 1981, by the issuance of license number 146731 by the New York State Education Department.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. On or about October 15, 2013, the Connecticut Medical Examining Board issued a Memorandum of Decision finding that Respondent made inappropriate physical and/or sexual contact with a patient during a pre-operative examination, specifically that he made inappropriate physical and/or sexual contact with a patient when he examined her inguinal lymph nodes.

B. Pursuant to the terms of the Memorandum of Decision, Respondent was assessed a civil penalty of \$5,000, was placed on probation for a period of six months, was required to complete coursework on Ethics and Boundaries, and was subject to a permanent restriction on his medical license requiring the presence of a female chaperone during any examination or treatment of a female patient. Respondent was also required to document the presence of the chaperone in the patient's medical records, to agree to the random inspection of Respondent's records to assure compliance with the practice restriction, and to comply with other conditions.

C. The conduct resulting in the Board's Decision and Order against Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to New York Education Law Sections 6530(20) (moral unfitness) and 6530(31) (willfully harassing, abusing or intimidating a patient either physically or verbally).

SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES

FIRST SPECIFICATION

HAVING BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(b) by having been found guilty of improper professional practice or professional misconduct by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state where the conduct upon which the finding was based would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§6530(20) and (31)) as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. The facts in Paragraphs A through C.

SECOND SPECIFICATION

HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(d) by having his or her license to practice medicine revoked,

suspended or having other disciplinary action taken, or having his or her application for a license refused, revoked or suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered his or her license after a disciplinary action was instituted by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state, where the conduct resulting in the revocation, suspension or other disciplinary action involving the license or refusal, revocation or suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of the license would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§ 6530(20) and (31)) as alleged in the facts of the following:

2. The facts in Paragraphs A through C.

DATE: October 23, 2014
Albany, New York


/s/ MICHAEL A. HISEN, ESQ.
Deputy Counsel
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct