

**These charges are only allegations which  
may be contested by the licensee in an  
Administrative hearing.**

IN THE MATTER  
  
OF  
  
RICHARD REID WILSON, D.O.

STATEMENT  
  
OF  
  
CHARGES

RICHARD REID WILSON, D.O., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about January 28, 2003, by the issuance of license number 227433 by the New York State Education Department.

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

A. On or about June 3, 2013, the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine ("Board"), entered a Final Order Accepting Settlement Agreement ("Order"), accepting and partially amending a Settlement Agreement signed by Respondent on or about July 9, 2012. The Board found that Respondent <sup>Amended Order 1/2/14 "neither admitted nor denied that he</sup> had failed to practice medicine with the level of skill, care, and treatment recognized as acceptable by a reasonably prudent physician in several respects, with respect to multiple patients, including but not limited to by failing to perform appropriate patient histories or examinations, by prescribing excessive and/or inappropriate amounts of controlled substances without documented medical justification, by failing to enter into appropriate pain management agreements, and by failing to perform adequate drug monitoring. The Board also found that Respondent had failed to document patient evaluations and treatment-related matters with regard to multiple patients.

B. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement as amended by the Order, Respondent was directed to be reprimanded, pay a \$15,000 administrative fine and additional costs, complete specified coursework addressing the prescribing of controlled substances, medical record keeping, and risk management. Respondent was also made subject to a condition requiring a review of his practice by an independent, certified licensed risk manager, a prohibition against the prescribing of any controlled substances until Respondent satisfied the Board that he had completed a risk management review and complied with any resulting recommendations, and a permanent prohibition preventing Respondent from owning, operating, or working in a pain management clinic, from being the designated physician of a pain management clinic, or from applying to the Florida Department of Health for registration of a pain management facility.

C. The conduct resulting in the Board's Decision and Order against Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to New York Education Law Sec. 6530(3) (negligence on more than one occasion) and/or New York Education Law Sec. 6530(32) (failure to maintain a record).

#### SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES

#### HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(d) by having his or her license to practice medicine revoked, suspended or having other disciplinary action taken, or having his or her application for a

... case refused, revoked or suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered his  
... her license after a disciplinary action was instituted by a duly authorized professional  
disciplinary agency of another state, where the conduct resulting in the revocation,  
suspension or other disciplinary action involving the license or refusal, revocation or  
suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of the license would, if  
committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New  
York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§ 6530(3) and/or (32)) as alleged in the facts of the  
following:

1. The facts in Paragraphs A through C.

DATE: June-25, 2014  
Albany, New York

REDACTED  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MICHAEL A. HISER, ESQ.  
Deputy Counsel  
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct