## These charges are only allegations which may be contested by the licensee in an administrative hearing.

NEW YORK STATE	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
STATE BOARD FOR	PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT

IN THE MATTER

OF

ROOZBEH BADII, M. D.

**STATEMENT** 

OF

CHARGES

ROOZBEH BADII, M.D., was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about September 9, 2015, by the issuance of license number 281740 by the New York State Education Department.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- A. On or about April 20, 2020, the Maryland State Board of Physicians ("Board") issued a Final Decision and Order ("Order") based on a Proposed Decision. The Order determined that the Respondent was mentally incompetent to practice medicine and failed to cooperate with a lawful investigation conducted by the Board in violation of Md. Health Occ. §§ 14-404(a)(4) and (33). Respondent's license was suspended for one year and he was required to enroll in the Maryland Professional Rehabilitation Program ("MPRP") and to enter into a rehabilitation agreement and plan with the MPRP.
  - The conduct resulting in the Order would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State, pursuant to:
    - a) New York Education Law Section 6530(8) (having a psychiatric condition which impairs the licensee's ability to practice.);
    - b) New York Education Law Section 6530(16) (A willful or grossly negligent failure to comply with substantial provisions of federal, state, or local laws, rules, or regulations governing the practice of medicine.);

c) New York Education Law Section 6530(28) (Failing to respond within thirty days to written communications from the department of health and to make available any relevant records with respect to an inquiry or complaint about the licensee's professional misconduct...).

## <u>FIRST SPECIFICATION</u>

## HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(b) having been found guilty of improper professional practice or professional misconduct by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state where the conduct upon which the findings was based would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state, namely N.Y. Educ. Laws §6530(8), (16) and (28) as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. The facts in Paragraph A, A1 and its subparagraphs.

DATE: April 25, 2022

New York, New York

Henry Weintraub
Chief Counsel
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct