These charges are only allegations which may be contested by the licensee in an administrative hearing.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT

IN THE MATTER

STATEMENT

OF

CHARGES

OF

STEPHEN P. BRADLEY, M.D.

STEPHEN P. BRADLEY, M.D., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about September 4, 1981 by the issuance of license number 147583 by the New York State Education Department.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. On or about May 6, 2020, the Medical Board of California ordered a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order ("California Order") against the Respondent that became effective on June 5, 2020. The California Order imposed a stayed revocation against the Respondent's California medical license, and also imposed a three year period of probation during which the Respondent must complete no less than forty additional hours of educational courses per year of probation, and additional courses in the areas of prescribing, record keeping, and professional ethics. The California Order also requires that the Respondent have his practice monitored by a Board approved monitor, and that Respondent be limited from supervising physician assistants and/or advance practice nurses during the probation period. The California Order was the result of an Accusation from on or about April 12, 2019 that stated four causes of discipline, including Gross Negligence in the care and treatment of two patients, Repeated Negligent Acts in the care and treatment of three patients, Failing to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records for one patient, and General Unprofessional Conduct in the care and treatment of three patients.

- B. The conduct resulting in the California disciplinary action against the Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to the following sections of New York State law:
 - 1. New York Education Law section 6530(3) (practicing the profession with negligence on more than one occasion);
 - New York Education Law section 6530(4) (practicing the profession with gross negligence on a particular occasion); and/or
 - 3. New York Education Law section 6530(32) (failing to maintain a record for each patient which accurately reflects the evaluation and treatment of the patient).

SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(d) by having his or her license to practice medicine revoked, suspended or having other disciplinary action taken, or having his or her application for a license refused, revoked or suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered his or her license after a disciplinary action was instituted by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state, where the conduct resulting in the revocation, suspension or other disciplinary action involving the license or refusal, revocation or suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of the license would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530[3], [4] and/or [32]) as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. Paragraphs A, B and B.1, B.2 and/or B.3.

DATE: December 30, 2020 Albany, New York

TIMOTHY J. MAHAR
Deputy Counsel
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct