These charges are only allegations which may be contested by the licensee in an administrative hearing.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT

IN THE MATTER

STATEMENT

OF

OF

CHARGES

THOMAS I. KNOX, M.D.

THOMAS I. KNOX, M.D., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about February 17, 2011 by the issuance of license number 260445 by the New York State Education Department.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- A. On or about May 21, 2019, the Connecticut Medical Examining Board ordered and accepted a Consent Order between the Connecticut Department of Public Health, Healthcare Quality and Safety Branch, and the Respondent. The Consent Order imposed a reprimand against the Respondent's Connecticut medical license which constituted disciplinary action. The Consent Order was the result of an allegation that the Respondent's care of one patient fell below the standard of care by failing to maintain appropriate treatment records and/or by improperly delegating the assessment and/or administration of medication to an unlicensed person(s), which are grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §20-13c, including but not limited to §20-13c(4).
- B. The conduct resulting in the Connecticut disciplinary action against the Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to the following sections of New York State law:
 - New York Education Law section 6530(11) (permitting, aiding or abetting an unlicensed person to perform activities requiring a license); and/or
 - 2. New York Education Law section 6530(25) (delegating professional responsibilities to a person when the licensee delegating such responsibilities

- knows or has reason to know that such person is not qualified, by training, by experience, or by licensure, to perform them); and/or
- 3. New York Education Law section 6530(32) (failing to maintain a record for each patient which accurately reflects the evaluation and treatment of the patient).

SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(d) by having his or her license to practice medicine revoked, suspended or having other disciplinary action taken, or having his or her application for a license refused, revoked or suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered his or her license after a disciplinary action was instituted by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state, where the conduct resulting in the revocation, suspension or other disciplinary action involving the license or refusal, revocation or suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of the license would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§ 6530[11], [25] and/or [32]) as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. Paragraphs A, B and B.1, B.2 and/or B.3.

DATE:December 30, 2020 Albany, New York

> TIMOTHÝ J. MAHÀR Deputy Counsel Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct