These charges are only allegations which may be contested by the licensee in an administrative hearing.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT

IN THE MATTER

STATEMENT

OF

CHARGES

OF

**NEIL GOLDSTEIN, M.D.** 

NEIL GOLDSTEIN, M.D., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about January 5, 1994, by the issuance of license number 194632 by the New York State Education Department.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- A. On or about February 5, 2020, the Medical Board of California (hereinafter, "California Board") issued a Decision which adopted a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order signed by Respondent on November 7, 2019, which issued a public reprimand and directed Respondent to enroll in a medical record keeping course. This disciplinary action was based on information that the California Board could establish a prima facie case that Respondent, in the care of one patient, performed multiple interventional vascular procedures without sufficient clinical indication and that failed to maintain adequate or accurate medical records.
- B. The conduct resulting in the California Board's disciplinary action against Respondent would constitute under the laws of New York State pursuant to the following Sections of New York State Law:
  - New York State Education Law § 6530(3) (Practicing the profession with negligence on more than one occasion);

2. New York State Education Law § 6530(32) (Failing to maintain a record for each patient

which accurately reflects the evaluation and treatment of the patient).

SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES

HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ.

Law § 6530(9)(d) by having his or her license to practice medicine revoked, suspended or having

other disciplinary action taken, or having his or her application for a license refused, revoked or

suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered his or her license after a disciplinary

action was instituted by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency or another state, where

the conduct resulting in the revocation, suspension or other disciplinary action involving the

license or refusal, revocation or or suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of

the license would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the

laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§ 6530(3) and (32)) as alleged in the facts of

the following:

1. The facts in Paragraphs A and B and B.1 and/or A and B and B.2.

DATE: September 17, 2020

Albany, New York

TIMOTHY & MAHAR Deputy Counsel

**Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct**