

**These charges are only allegations which
may be contested by the licensee in an
Administrative hearing.**

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT

IN THE MATTER

OF

REESE JAMES, D.O.

STATEMENT

OF

CHARGES

REESE JAMES, D.O., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about June 9, 2001, by the issuance of license number 221478 by the New York State Education Department.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Respondent, on or about August 3, 2017, entered into a Consent Order with the Michigan Board of Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery (hereinafter "Michigan Board"), at which time Respondent's license was suspended for one year, Respondent's controlled substance license was permanently surrendered, and Respondent was fined Thirty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$35,000.00). In ordering this discipline, the Michigan Board found that Respondent, in his treatment of twelve patients from 2015 to 2017, prescribed controlled substances without a history or examination, failed to document a rationale for prescribing, and failed to document positive urine drug screen results.

B. The conduct resulting in the Michigan Board's disciplinary action against Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to the following Sections of New York State Laws:

1. New York Education Law § 6530(3) (Practicing the profession with negligence on more than one occasion); and/or
2. New York Education Law § 6530(32) (Failing to maintain a record for each patient which accurately reflects the evaluation and treatment of the patient, provided).

SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES

HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(d) by having his or her license to practice medicine revoked, suspended or having other disciplinary action taken, or having his or her application for a license refused, revoked or suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered his or her license after a disciplinary action was instituted by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state, where the conduct resulting in the revocation, suspension or other disciplinary action involving the license or refusal, revocation or suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of the license would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(3) and (32)) as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. The facts in Paragraphs A and B and B.1 and/or A and B and B.2.

DATE: March 6, 2018
Albany, New York



MICHAEL A. HISER
Deputy Counsel
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct