

**These charges are only allegations which  
may be contested by the licensee in an  
administrative hearing.**

IN THE MATTER  
OF  
ROBIN KOVAL, P.A.

STATEMENT  
OF  
CHARGES

Robin Koval, P.A., the Respondent, was authorized to practice as a Physician Assistant in New York State on or about December 28, 1990, by the issuance of license number 004175 by the New York State Education Department.

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

A. On or about April 3, 2017, the State of New Jersey, Department of Law & Public Safety, Division of Consumer Affairs, State Board of Medical Examiners (hereinafter, "New Jersey Board"), by a Consent Order, inter alia, ordered Respondent to immediately cease and desist from engaging in the practice of medicine and surgery in New Jersey, including but not limited to administering Botox to individuals in her home and assessed a fine in the amount of \$10,000.00, which was stayed provided that Respondent comply with the terms of the New Jersey Order based upon a finding that Respondent offered to practice or practiced medicine without a valid medical license in violation of N.J.S.A. 45:1-18.2, N.J.S.A. 45:9-6 and N.J.S.A. 45:9-22.

B. The conduct resulting in the New Jersey Board disciplinary action against Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State, pursuant to the following sections of New York state law:

1. New York Education Law §6530(2) (Practicing the profession fraudulently or beyond its authorized scope); and/or

2. New York Education Law §6530(16) (A willful or grossly negligent failure to comply with substantial provisions of federal, state, or local laws, rules, or regulations governing the practice of medicine)

**SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES**

**FIRST SPECIFICATION**

**HAVING BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT**

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(b) by having been found guilty of improper professional practice or professional misconduct by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state where the conduct upon which the finding was based would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§ 6530[2] and [16]) as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. The facts in Paragraphs A and B and B.1 and/or B and B.2.

**SECOND SPECIFICATION**

**HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN**

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(d) by having his or her license to practice medicine revoked, suspended or having other disciplinary action taken, or having his or her application for a

license refused, revoked or suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered his or her license after a disciplinary action was instituted by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state, where the conduct resulting in the revocation, suspension or other disciplinary action involving the license or refusal, revocation or suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of the license would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§ 6530[2] and [16]) as alleged in the facts of the following:

2. The facts in Paragraphs A and B and B.1 and/or B and B.2.

DATE: November 10, 2017  
Albany, New York

  
MICHAEL A. HISER  
Deputy Counsel  
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct