

**These charges are only allegations which  
may be contested by the licensee in an  
Administrative hearing.**

IN THE MATTER  
OF  
STEVEN YOUNG, P.A.

STATEMENT  
OF  
CHARGES

Steven Young, P.A., the Respondent, was authorized to practice as a physician assistant in New York State on or about May 28, 1992, by the issuance of license number 004270 by the New York State Education Department.

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

A. On or about February 22, 2016, the Respondent signed a non-disciplinary Interim Consent Agreement ("ICA") for Practice Limitation and Evaluation with the Arizona Regulatory Board of Physicians Assistants ("Arizona Board"). This was based upon the Respondent's self-reporting his September 28, 2015 arrest for Driving While under the Influence as well as additional charges of DUI/Drugs, and possession of prescription only drugs, a misdemeanor. On September 22, 2016, the Respondent waived his right to a hearing/appeal and agreed to a Surrender of his license as a physician's assistant in the state of Arizona. The Surrender was based on Respondent's admission that he failed to notify his supervising physician or place of practice that he entered into an ICA, that he provided care to three patients in violation of the ICA, and that he failed to complete a

Neuropsychological Evaluation and Treatment with an addiction Medicine Specialist.

B. The Conduct resulting in the Arizona Department's disciplinary action against Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to the following section of New York State Law:

1. New York Education Law § 6530(29) (Violating any term of probation or condition or limitation imposed on the licensee pursuant to N.Y. Pub. Health § 230).

**SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES**

**FIRST SPECIFICATION**

**HAVING BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT**

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(b) by having been found guilty of improper professional practice or professional misconduct by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state where the conduct upon which the finding was based would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(29)) as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. The facts in Paragraphs A and B and B1.

SECOND SPECIFICATION

HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(d) by having his or her license to practice as a physician assistant revoked, suspended or having other disciplinary action taken, or having his or her application for a license refused, revoked or suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered his or her license after a disciplinary action was instituted by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state, where the conduct resulting in the revocation, suspension or other disciplinary action involving the license or refusal, revocation or suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of the license would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York State as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. The facts in Paragraphs A and B and B1.

DATE: April 14, 2017  
Albany, New York

  
Michael A. Hiser  
Deputy Counsel  
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct