

**These charges are only allegations  
which may be contested by the licensee  
in an administrative hearing.**

**IN THE MATTER**

**OF**

**SCOTT GLICKMAN, D.O.**

STATEMENT  
OF  
CHARGES

SCOTT GLICKMAN, D.O., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about 8/11/2008, by the issuance of license number 249936 by the New York State Education Department.

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

A. On or about July 30, 2021, by an agreed upon Settlement Agreement and Order, filed on August 11, 2021, Respondent was the subject of a disciplinary action taken by the Nevada Board of Osteopathic Medicine (hereinafter the Nevada Board), and ordered Respondent to: pay the Nevada Board's fees and cost of the investigation and prosecution of the matter (\$480.00 within ninety-days of the effective date of the Nevada Board's Settlement Agreement and Order), pay a five-thousand dollar fine (\$5,000.00 within ninety-days of the effective date of the Nevada Board's Settlement Agreement and Order which shall be reduced to \$500.00 if Respondent produces to the Nevada Board copies of the requested medical records or evidence of impossibility of obtaining them within sixty-days (60) of the effective date of the Nevada Board's Settlement Agreement and Order). The Nevada Board's Settlement Agreement and Order was the result of Respondent's admitted violations of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 633.511(1) as unprofessional conduct as further defined in NRS 633.131(1)(k) (knowingly or willfully disobeying regulations of the State Board of Health, the State Board of Pharmacy or the State Board of Osteopathic Medicine), NRS 633.131.(1)(l) (Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any prohibition made in this chapter) and the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 633.350(1)(j) (violates the

provisions of NRS 629.061 concerning making the health care records of a patient available for physical inspection and furnishing a copy of the health care records).

B. The conduct resulting in the Nevada Board's disciplinary action against Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to the following sections of New York state law:

1. New York State Education Law § 6530(28) (Failing to respond within thirty days to written communications from the Department of Health and to make available any relevant records with respect to an inquiry or complaint about the licensee's professional misconduct).

**FIRST SPECIFICATION**

**HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN**

1. Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in New York State Education Law § 6530(9)(d) by having been found guilty of improper professional practice or professional misconduct by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state where the conduct upon which the finding was based would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(28) as alleged in the facts of the following:
2. Paragraphs A, B and B1.

DATE: March 22, 2024  
Albany, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HENRY WEINTRAUB  
Chief Counsel  
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct