

**These charges are only allegations which
may be contested by the licensee in an
Administrative hearing.**

IN THE MATTER
OF
KUMAR SINGH, D.O.

STATEMENT
OF
CHARGES

KUMAR SINGH, D.O., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about May 16, 2008, by the issuance of license number 248687 by the New York State Education Department.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. On or About February 23, 2018, the Michigan Board of Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery (hereinafter "Michigan Board") entered a Final Order and suspended Respondent's license to practice as an osteopathic physician for three years and fined Respondent \$100,000.00. This disciplinary action was based upon findings that Respondent's conduct evidenced that he suffers from a mental or physical inability reasonably related to and adversely affecting his ability to practice in a safe and competent manner and that Respondent evidenced a lack of good moral character. The conduct which led to these findings included Respondent's Facebook messages in which he threatened to kill multiple people.

B. The conduct resulting in the Michigan Board's disciplinary action against Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to the following Sections of New York State Laws:

1. New York Education Law § 6530(7) (Practicing the profession while impaired by alcohol, drugs, physical disability, or mental disability); and/or
2. New York Education Law § 6530(20) (Conduct in the practice of medicine which evidences moral unfitness to practice medicine).

SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES

FIRST SPECIFICATION

HAVING BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(b) by having been found guilty of improper professional practice or professional misconduct by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state where the conduct upon which the finding was based would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§ 6530(7) and (20)) as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. The facts in Paragraphs A and B and B.1 and/or A and B and B.2.

SECOND SPECIFICATION

HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(d) by having his or her license to practice medicine revoked, suspended or having other disciplinary action taken, or having his or her application for a license refused, revoked or suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered his

or her license after a disciplinary action was instituted by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state, where the conduct resulting in the revocation, suspension or other disciplinary action involving the license or refusal, revocation or suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of the license would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§ 6530(7) and (20) as alleged in the facts of the following:

2. The facts in Paragraphs A and B and B.1 and/or A and B and B.2.

DATE: May 15, 2018
Albany, New York



MICHAEL A. HISER
Deputy Counsel
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct