

**These charges are only allegations which
may be contested by the licensee in an
Administrative hearing.**

IN THE MATTER
OF
JEFFREY MATFUS, M.D.

STATEMENT
OF
CHARGES

JEFFREY MATFUS, M.D., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about July 1, 1976, by the issuance of license number 127429 by the New York State Education Department.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- A. By Final Order dated December 15, 2015, the Florida Board of Medicine (hereinafter "Florida Board") revoked Respondent's license to practice medicine. This action was based upon the facts set forth in an Administrative Complaint filed on June 26, 2015, which the Florida Board approved, adopted, and incorporated by reference as the conclusion of law. The Florida Board concluded that in the care of two patients, Respondent committed medical malpractice, prescribed a controlled substance other than in his course of his professional practice, and failed to create or maintain adequate medical records which justified the course of treatment.
- B. The conduct resulting in the Florida Board's disciplinary action against the Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to the following Sections of New York State Law:

1. New York Education Law § 6350(3) (Practicing the profession with negligence on more than one occasion); and/or
2. New York Education Law § 6350(32) (Failing to maintain a record for each patient which accurately reflects the evaluation and treatment of the patient).

SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES

FIRST SPECIFICATION

HAVING BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT

Respondent violated New York State Education Law § 6530(9)(b) by having been found guilty of improper professional practice or professional misconduct by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state where the conduct upon which the finding was based would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York State in that the Petitioner charges:

1. The facts in paragraphs A and B and B1 and/or A and B and B2.

SECOND SPECIFICATION

HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN

Respondent violated New York State Education Law § 6530(9)(d) by having disciplinary action taken, where the conduct resulting in the disciplinary action involving

the license would, if committed in New York State, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York State Law, in that Petitioner charges:

2. The facts in Paragraphs A and B and B1 and/or A and B and B2.

DATE: September 21, 2016
Albany, New York



MICHAEL A. HISER
Deputy Counsel
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct